



SOCG3

**Wyre Forest District** 

### Site Allocations and Policies Plan and Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan

Examination

Statement of Common Ground 3 (SOCG3)

**Environment Agency** 

14<sup>th</sup> January

### WYRE FOREST DISTRICT SITE ALLOCATIONS AND POLICIES AND KIDDERMINSTER CENTRAL AREA ACTION PLAN EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC

#### STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND – ENVIRONMENT AGENCY

This "Statement of Common of Ground" has been developed in response to representations received from the Environment Agency on the Pre-Submission Publication version of the Site Allocations and Policies and Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan DPD.

Officers from Wyre Forest District Council and representatives from the Environment Agency held a meeting on 11/12/2012 at which the representations listed below were discussed.

#### **Site Allocations and Policies**

- SALPP161
- SALPP162
- SALPP163
- SALPP164
- SALPP165
- SALPP166
- SALPP165
- SALPP166
- SALPP167
- SALPP168
- SALPP169
- SALPP170
- SALPP171
- SALPP172
- SALPP173
- SALPP174
- sALPP175
- SALPP176
- SALPP177
- SALPP178
- SALPP180
- SALPP182
- SALPP184
- SALPP185
- SALPP186
- SALPP188
- SALPP190

The table attached at Appendix 1 outlines those areas where agreement has been reached on common ground for the Site Allocations and Policies. It also outlines areas where it is considered that further discussion and clarification is required during the relevant hearing sessions.

Appendix 1 also sets out some proposed modifications to the Site Allocations and Policies in order to address the representations and establish Common Ground.

Appendix 1 – Site Allocations and Policies - Actions arising from discussion with the Environment Agency relating to the establishment of common ground.

### WYRE FOREST DISTRICT SITE ALLOCATIONS AND POLICIES AND KIDDERMINSTER CENTRAL AREA ACTION PLAN EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC

#### STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND – ENVIRONMENT AGENCY

#### **Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan**

- KCAAP96
- KCAAP97
- KCAAP98
- KCAAP99
- KCAAP100
- KCAAP101
- KCAAP102
- KCAAP103
- KCAAP104
- KCAAP105
- KCAAP106
- KCAAP107
- KCAAP108
- KCAAP109
- KCAAP110

The table attached at Appendix 2 outlines those areas where agreement has been reached on common ground for the Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan. It also outlines areas where it is considered that further discussion and clarification is required during the relevant hearing sessions.

Appendix 2 also sets out some proposed minor amendments to the Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan in order to address the representations and establish Common Ground.

Appendix 2 – Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan - Actions arising from discussion with the Environment Agency relating to the establishment of common ground.

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
SALPP179					
Two of the documents listed are not available for review and we understand that they are still being undertaken by the Council to inform the evidence base. There appears to be no clear cross reference with the evidence base as the Sequential Testing (Flooding) document and Infrastructure Delivery Plan are still being completed. It is difficult to comment on the soundness of the plan as we have not seen the contents of these two	All	Comments are noted. The Council have now finalised a District wide Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will prioritise infrastructure. This has been used to inform the preparation of the Plan. Furthermore, work has	N/a	The Environment Agency has now had the opportunity to review the Council's Infrastructure Plan and Sequential Testing (Flooding) document.	
As the Sequential Testing (Flooding) and Infrastructure Delivery Plan are still being completed it is unclear whether the plan is the most appropriate strategy, when considered against reasonable alternatives, and whether the plan is deliverable over its period i.e. flood risk, environmental infrastructure requirements etc.		been undertaken in conjunction with the County Council in terms of infrastructure planning and these documents are available to view on the County Council's website. The sequential test has also been used to develop the plan; this document has helped to justify the site selection process. Both documents have been further updated to reflect conversations held with the Environment Agency. The documents have also been updated t		We are satisfied that the Council's Sequential Testing (Flooding) document provides a detailed review of flood risk, utilising the work undertaken in their Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, to demonstrate the Sequential Testing of sites. Where development has been identified as necessary within Flood Zone 2 and 3,	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		to utilise new information that has been provided since their first publication. These documents have been circulated to the Environment Agency for their comment.		consideration has been given to the Sequential Approach and flood risk vulnerability classification of development, in locating more vulnerable uses in areas of lower flood risk. We have reviewed the environmental infrastructure sections of the Infrastructure Plan and acknowledge the detail provided to support the deliverability of development within the District.	Yes on the understanding that Severn Trent Water Ltd are satisfied with the detail of the plan.
				In particular the Waste water section of the Plan has acknowledged the constraints to	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
				existing wastewater treatment works identified within the Council's Water Cycle Strategy and provided further detail to clarify the deliverability of sites within the plan. We understand that this work has been undertaken in consultation with Severn Trent Water Limited and on this basis we have no further comments to make.	
SALPP184					
It is unclear whether the allocation and phasing period for residential sites, shown in the table under paragraph 4.8, has been informed by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Paragraph 1.9 of the Development Plan Document (DPD) refers to background studies and technical reports that have been produced to inform the decisions made regarding sites in the DPD. There appears to be no clear	Paragraph 4.8	Comments are noted. The Council have now finalised a District wide Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will prioritise infrastructure. This has been used to inform the preparation of the Plan. Furthermore, work has	N/a	The Environment Agency has now had the opportunity to review the Council's Infrastructure Plan and Sequential Testing (Flooding) document.	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
cross reference with the evidence base as the Sequential Testing (Flooding) document and Infrastructure Delivery Plan are still being completed. It is difficult to comment on the soundness of the plan as we have not seen the contents of these two key evidence base documents. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Sequential Testing (Flooding) reports should be finalised and published so that they can be viewed and commented on. It should be clear how the plans have been used to inform and support the policy, site allocations (Sequential Approach) and deliverability of the plan, providing transparency and a clear link to the evidence base.		been undertaken in conjunction with the County Council in terms of infrastructure planning and these documents are available to view on the County Council's website. The sequential test has also been used to develop the plan; this document has helped to justify the site selection process. These documents have now been circulated to the Environment Agency for their comment.		We are satisfied that the Council's Sequential Testing (Flooding) document provides a detailed review of flood risk, utilising the work undertaken in their Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, to demonstrate the Sequential Testing of sites. Where development has been identified as necessary within Flood Zone 2 and 3, consideration has been given to the Sequential Approach and flood risk vulnerability classification of development, in locating more vulnerable uses in areas of lower flood risk.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
				We have reviewed the environmental infrastructure sections of the Infrastructure Plan and acknowledge the detail provided to support the deliverability and phasing of development within the District.	Yes on the understanding that Severn Trent Water Ltd are satisfied with the detail of the plan.
				In particular the Waste water section of the Plan has acknowledged the constraints to existing wastewater treatment works identified within the Council's Water Cycle Strategy and provided further detail to clarify the deliverability of sites within the plan. We understand that this	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
				work has been undertaken in consultation with Severn Trent Water Limited and on this basis we have no further comments to make.	
SALPP186 In considering the rural nature and size of the sites, we would recommend including a reference to appropriate drainage infrastructure within this policy, such as: Appropriate arrangements for sewerage treatment must be submitted as part of the application in addition to satisfactory drainage measures, in protecting the water environment.	Policy SAL.DPL2	Comments are noted. Include suggested wording as part of a Minor Amendment to the policy.	SALMOD05 Add additional criteria to Policy DPL.2 as follows: Appropriate arrangements for sewerage treatment must be submitted as part of the application in addition to satisfactory drainage measures, in order to protect the water environment.	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
SALPP186					
We accept that your Council have undertaken extensive consultation in establishing the preferred site allocations. However we would like the following representation to be noted: It is disappointing that of the four gypsy/traveller sites selected for allocations, Site J and L are located within Flood Zone 3 ('high risk' floodplain), Site E within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and Site K is located within Flood Zone 2 (medium probability) with the sites vehicular access within Flood Zone 3. Policy SAL.DPL8 is in conflict with Policy CP06 of your Council's adopted Core Strategy. CP06 lists a number of criteria that new sites would need to accord with in meeting the specific needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople sites within the District. Criteria 5 of this policy states 'the site should not fall within areas at higher risk of flooding such as Flood Zone 2 and 3 and its exact location should take account of the	Policy SAL.DPL8	Comments are noted. However, as pointed out by the representations, these sites now benefit from planning permission and the decision to allocate these sites reinforces the decisions made by members of the Planning Committee. The Council has undertaken an extensive consultation on potential new sites and the proposed site allocations reflect the outcome of that consultation.	None proposed.	Our previous representation is still relevant and we would leave this for the Inspector to consider at the Examination in Public.	No
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment'. The two sites located within Flood Zone 3 (Site J - Land adjacent Nunn's Corner and Site L - Land opposite the Gatehouse) have recently been subject to planning applications approved by your Council, one for an extension and the other a temporary period for gypsy /					

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
traveller use. As a statutory consultee, we were consulted on both planning applications and objected on flood risk grounds. Notwithstanding the Sequential Test requirement (for consideration by your Council in this instance given the scale of the proposal), we raised concerns that it had not been demonstrated that the development could be made safe for occupiers in this location.					
It was assessed that flooding on site would be approximately 800mm deep during a 100 year plus climate change flood event. The vehicular access would also be flooded to a similar depth for Site J and to more than 1m deep for Site L.					
Your Planning Officer recommended the planning application for Site L was refused on flood risk and the Sequential Test. Your planning committee determined to approve both applications contrary to advice.					
We are likely to provide similar comments on any future planning application for the above sites, in considering flood risk and safe development requirements. SALPP188					
We support the inclusion of point ii. and vii. within the policy, which refer to the approval of sites for travelling showpeople where they are not located within areas of higher risk of flooding and where appropriate	Policy SAL.DPL9	Comments are noted and support is welcomed.	N/a	N/a	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
arrangements for sewerage treatment and drainage have been provided, in ensuring sustainable development.					
SALPP182					
Paragraph 6.30 refers to a locally tailored version of the West Midlands Sustainability Checklist being developed to assist developers in achieving targets, as referred to in section 6.11 of the adopted Core Strategy. Whilst we understand the checklist is being developed after the Site Allocations and Policies DPD, it is still unclear when the checklist will be produced and what weight the document will have.	Paragraph 6.30	Comments are noted. The Council are envisaging that the locally tailored version of the Sustainability Checklist will be adopted as an SPD.	N/a	We acknowledge the clarification provided on the mechanism for undertaking the locally tailored version of the sustainability checklist. We would welcome the opportunity to work with the Council on the draft SPD as it progresses. A reference within paragraph 6.30 to the local sustainability checklist being delivered through an SPD would further clarify and strengthen this requirement.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
SALPP185					
<ul> <li>We support the inclusion of this policy and welcome the inclusion of our suggested amended wording (at the preferred options stage) for the SuDS section and paragraph 6.45.</li> <li>We welcome the inclusion of a Water Quality section within this policy, with reference to no deterioration, in line with an objective of the Water Framework Directive WFD). In considering other objectives of the WFD we would recommend the policy also refers to development improving and enhancing the status of water bodies under the objectives of WFD.</li> <li>Recommended wording:</li> <li>Development proposals will be permitted which:</li> <li>do not lead to deterioration of EU Water Framework Directive water body status,</li> <li>do not have a negative impact on water quality, either directly through pollution of surface or groundwater, or indirectly through overloading of Wastewater Treatment Works.</li> <li>help to conserve and enhance watercourses and</li> </ul>	Policy SAL.CC7	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	<ul> <li>SALMOD26</li> <li>Proposed minor <ul> <li>amendment to the</li> <li>policy, including</li> <li>changes to the format,</li> <li>as follows:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Water Quality: <ul> <li>Development</li> <li>proposals will be</li> <li>permitted which:</li> <li>Do not lead to</li> <li>deterioration of EU</li> <li>Water Framework</li> <li>Directive water</li> <li>body status,</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do not have a <ul> <li>negative impact on</li> <li>water quality,</li> <li>either directly</li> <li>through pollution</li> <li>of surface or</li> <li>groundwater, or</li> <li>indirectly through</li> <li>overloading of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes
riverside habitats, where necessary and feasible, through management and mitigation measures			Wastewater Treatment Works.		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
			Policies?		
for the improvement and/or enhancement of			Help to conserve		
water quality and habitat of any aquatic environment in or adjoining the development			and enhance		
site.			watercourses and		
site.			riverside habitats.		
			Where necessary this should be		
			through		
			management and		
			mitigation		
			measures for the		
			improvement		
			and/or		
			enhancement of		
			water quality and		
			habitat of any		
			aquatic		
			environment in or		
			adjoining the		
			development site.		
SALPP180					
We support the inclusion of text on 'Water Quality' with	Paragraph	Comments are noted and	SALMOD26 Include additional text	We note from the	Yes
paragraphs 6.52 - 6.54. The text in paragraph 6.52 makes	6.52 – 6.54	support is welcomed.	as follows:	Council comments that the water	
reference to River Quality Objectives (RQOs), taken from		Include proposed changes as part of the Minor	as 10110WS.		
the Water Cycle Strategy (WCS). However, RQOs are no longer used in water quality classification as we have now		Amendments, both in	The District area	quality data and WFD paragraph are to be	
moved to using Water Framework Directive classification.		relation to the updating of	overlies a principal	updated and the	
This classification system incorporates both chemical and		information and in	aquifer of regional	inclusion of the	
ecological elements.		relation to the additional	strategic importance.	additional text is as	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		paragraph relating to	The majority of the	recommended in our	
We welcome the reference in paragraph 6.54 to ensuring		groundwater.	sites fall within the	representation.	
that developments meet the 'no deterioration' objective		groundwater.	combined total source	representation.	
of the WFD. Another objective of the WFD is to achieve at			protection zone of a		
least good status for all waters by 2015. Where this is not			number of public		
possible, and subject to the criteria set out in the			water supply		
Directive, aim to achieve good status by 2021 or 2027.			boreholes. The		
			southern extent of the		
We would recommend a paragraph on groundwater in			Kidderminster Town		
explaining its context for the District and justification for			centre lies within the		
its inclusion within the Water Quality policy, which we			inner and outer		
support.			protection zone of a		
			public water supply		
We would recommend that paragraph 6.52 is updated to			borehole. The depth to		
refer to the current classification system. In relation to			water table across the		
paragraph 6.54, we would recommend a reference to			area is variable,		
development contributing to improving the status of			however many of the		
water bodies in assisting the WFD objective to achieve			sites fall within the		
good status for all waters by 2027. In relation to			valley of the Stour		
groundwater, we would recommend the following text:			where the water table		
			is shallow. The area is		
The District area overlies a principal aquifer of regional			therefore located in a		
strategic importance. The majority of the sites fall within			sensitive		
the combined total source protection zone of a number of			hydrogeological setting		
public water supply boreholes. The southern extent of the			and new development		
Kidderminster Town centre lies within the inner and outer			must put measures in		
protection zone of a public water supply borehole. The			place to protect the		
depth to water table across the area is variable, however			water environment.		
many of the sites fall within the valley of the Stour where					

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
the water table is shallow. The area is therefore located in a sensitive hydrogeological setting and new development must put measures in place to protect the water environment. SALPP163					
We support the inclusion of this policy, particularly part 1(i) on the delivery and enhancement of the River Severn and River Stour Corridors. Similar corridors are also essential along the tributaries of the Severn and Stour. Smaller watercourses offer essential linkages through the landscape and are as important locally as the large Severn and Stour Corridors. A reference to <b>blue infrastructure</b> in the first paragraph for all water bodies, including the tributary corridors, in the District, would address this in working towards the Objectives of the Water Framework Directive.	Policy SAL.UP3	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	SALMOD31 Proposed minor amendment to the policy as follows: Proposals should enhance and retain open space and <del>or</del> green/ <u>blue</u> infrastructure or provide improved compensatory provision	Proposed amendment is in line with our representation.	Yes
SALPP162 We support the inclusion of criteria vi - to ensure appropriate drainage and flood risk mitigation can be provided. A reference to safe development after flood risk mitigation would further clarify the requirements: vi. That appropriate drainage and flood risk mitigation, including safe development requirements, are available for the lifetime of the development.	Policy SAL.UP11	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	<b>SALMOD45</b> Proposed minor amendment to the policy as follows: vi. That appropriate drainage and flood risk mitigation, <u>including</u>	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies? safe development	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
SALPP161			requirements, are available for the lifetime of the development.		
We support the reference to the floodplain within paragraph two and three in managing the location of chalets and acknowledging the more vulnerable nature of chalet type development For sites that are located within the floodplain, consideration should be given to relocating the caravan(s) to an area of lower flood risk and it must be demonstrated that the development can be made safe for the lifetime of the development.	Policy SAL.UP12	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	SALMOD48 Proposed minor amendment to the policy as follows: For sites that are located within the floodplain, consideration should be given to relocating the caravan(s) to an area of lower flood risk and it <u>must be</u> <u>demonstrated that the</u> <u>development can be</u> <u>made safe for the</u> <u>lifetime of the</u> <u>development.</u>	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes
SALPP165 / SALPP166 / SALPP165 / SALPP166 / SALPP167 / SALPP168 / SALPP169 / SALPP170 / SALPP171 / SALPP172 / SALPP173 / SALPP174 / SALPP175 / SALPP176 / SALPP177 /SALPP178					

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
There is currently some inconsistency within the plan on how contaminated land has been picked up for each site, in terms of the wording used the policies it has included in. For consistency we would prefer the following wording to be used for the identified policies. - Ensure they incorporate appropriate remediation, building and drainage design in order to deal with any land contamination.	Policies: SAL.SK2 SAL.KSS1 SAL.STC1 SAL.STC2 SAL.STC3 SAL.STC4 SAL.EA1 SAL.EA2 SAL.EA3 SAL.EA3 SAL.EA4 SAL.EA5 SAL.WS1 SAL.B1 SAL.B2	Comments are noted. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	SALMOD53 Proposed minor amendment to include the following wording with the various policies identified, as follows: - Ensure they incorporate appropriate remediation, building and drainage design in order to deal with any land contamination.	Proposed amendments are as recommended in our representation.	Yes
SALPP190 The Councils Water Cycle Strategy by Royal Haskoning identifies Blakedown Nurseries as requiring a major infrastructure upgrade, which could lead to significant time and cost implications. It is currently unclear how this has been assessed as part of the Development Plan Document (DPD), to support the phasing and delivery of the site. Paragraph 177 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that it is 'important to ensure that there is a	Policy SAL.RS1	Comments are noted. The site is considered to be deliverable as discussions have been held with the site owners and agents who have provided comments to indicate its deliverability. Furthermore, the site has	None proposed	The Environment Agency has now had the opportunity to review the Council's Infrastructure Plan. We have reviewed the environmental infrastructure sections of the Plan	Yes on the understanding that Severn Trent Water Ltd are satisfied with the detail of the plan.

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
reasonable prospect that planned infrastructure is		just received planning		and acknowledge the	
deliverable in a timely fashion. To facilitate this, it is		permission for 44		detail provided to	
important that local planning authorities understand		residential units, and this		support the	
district-wide development costs at the time Local Plans		is now in the process of		deliverability and	
are drawn up.'		being implemented.		phasing of	
				development within	
The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should be finalised and		Therefore, the timing and		the District.	
published so that it can be viewed and commented on. It		deliverability of the site			
should be clear how the plan has been used to inform		can be fully justified. It		In particular the	
and support the policy, site allocations (Sequential		should be noted that the		Waste water section	
Approach) and deliverability of the plan, providing		Environment Agency were		of the Plan has	
transparency and a clear link to the evidence base.		consulted on this		acknowledged the	
		application but did not		constraints to	
The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should address and		provide comments as it		existing wastewater	
update the environmental infrastructure constraints		did not fall within their		treatment works	
identified in the Wyre Forest District Water Cycle Strategy		'consultation checklist'.		identified within the	
(WCS) Final Report, March 2010. When comparing the				Council's Water Cycle	
DPD with the WCS, a number of the more rural sites,				Strategy and	
including Blakedown Nurseries, is identified as requiring				provided further	
one or more major infrastructure upgrades (shown as red				detail to clarify the	
box in table 22A-D of the WCS and referred to in				deliverability of sites	
paragraph 5.71 of the adopted Core Strategy for phasing				within the plan,	
and implementation). The Infrastructure Delivery Plan				including the site at	
should further clarify the infrastructure requirements and				Blakedown	
provide an update to support the phasing and delivery of				Nurseries. We	
sites within the DPD. Upon receipt of this document we				understand that this	
would be able to comment further and update our				work has been	
position.				undertaken in	
				consultation with	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
SALPP164				Severn Trent Water Limited and on this basis we have no further comments to make.	
The Councils Water Cycle Strategy by Royal Haskoning identifies land at Clows Top as requiring major infrastructure upgrades, which could lead to significant time and cost implications. It is currently unclear how this has been assessed as part of the Development Plan Document (DPD), to support the phasing and delivery of the site. Paragraph 17.8 of the Site Allocations and Policies DPD refers to redevelopment providing a suitable drainage solution to help alleviate known infrastructure issues within the village. It is unclear how this has been assessed as the Infrastructure Delivery Plan is not available for review and we understand that this document is still being undertaken by the Council to inform the evidence base. As the Infrastructure Delivery Plan is still being completed it is unclear whether the plan is the most appropriate strategy, when considered against reasonable alternatives, and whether the site is deliverable over its period i.e. environmental	Policy SAL.RS2	Comments are noted. Discussions with the landowners and agents of the site have helped to identify that the site is considered to be deliverable. In terms of the Water Constraints highlighted, it should be noted that Severn Trent Water have not raised any objection to this proposed allocation. Furthermore, improvements to the Rock Sewage Treatment Works to help accommodate this development have recently received planning permission (as of 2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2012). The	None proposed	The Environment Agency has now had the opportunity to review the Council's Infrastructure Plan. We have reviewed the environmental infrastructure sections of the Plan and acknowledge the detail provided to support the deliverability and phasing of development within the District. In particular the Waste water section of the Plan has acknowledged the	Yes on the understanding that Severn Trent Water Ltd are satisfied with the detail of the plan.

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		P	Policies?		
infrastructure requirements etc.		Planning Statement		constraints to	
		accompanying this		existing wastewater	
When comparing the DPD with the WCS, a number of		planning permission		treatment works	
the more rural sites, including land at Clows Top, is		identified that: "The		identified within the	
identified as requiring one or more major infrastructure		solution identified for the		Council's Water Cycle	
upgrades (shown as red box in table 22A-D of the WCS		Rectory Lane STW involves		Strategy and	
and referred to in paragraph 5.71 of the adopted Core		the abandonment of the		provided further	
Strategy for phasing and implementation).		existing undersized		detail to clarify the	
		treatment streams and the		deliverability of sites	
The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should further clarify the		development of an		within the plan,	
infrastructure requirements and provide an update to		enlarged treatment works		including the site at	
support the phasing and delivery of sites within the DPD.		sized to take the flows		Clows Top.	
Upon receipt of this document we would be able to		from both existing			
comment further and update our position.		sewerage catchments <b>and</b>		We note that your	
		the proposed residential		Council have given	
		housing development in		the site a longer	
		Clows Top."		phasing period	
				(2011-21) to reflect	
		Severn Trent Water has		identified	
		also provided the		infrastructure	
		following response in		constraints and	
		relation to the works at		planned upgrades to	
		Rock:		the Rock (Rectory	
				Lane) wastewater	
		"With respect to our plans		treatment works.	
		for the Treatment Works			
		at Rock, it is in the process			
		of being completely rebuilt		We understand that	
		with completion in yr 4 of		this work has been	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		this AMP period (April 2013-Mar 2014). The existing works shares a site with a separate sewage works that is owned and operated by Wyre Forest Community Housing. These two works are being combined into a single new Severn Trent facility that will also include some capacity for growth. This is a relatively small works serving a small community and should there be doubt as to whether the additional capacity is sufficient we will make sure capacity is provided under the obligations of the Water Industry Act." Therefore, the Council are satisfied that the site can come forward in a sustainable fashion. To help enable the redevelopment of the site		undertaken in consultation with Severn Trent Water Limited and on this basis we have no further comments to make.	

and being mindful of         viability issues, the Council         have included flexibility         within the policy         framework to allow         market housing within this         area to come forward, in         order to help fund the	Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the Site Allocations and Policies?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
drainage improvements that are required. This is an approach that has been welcomed by the land owners. The site is considered to be consistent with the Core Strategy and the development plan. The Council's IDP and Water Cycle Strategy both identify that there are concerns relating to drainage within this area and this has been reflected in the policy wording included in the plan and has been taken into account when setting			viability issues, the Council have included flexibility within the policy framework to allow market housing within this area to come forward, in order to help fund the drainage improvements that are required. This is an approach that has been welcomed by the land owners. The site is considered to be consistent with the Core Strategy and the development plan. The Council's IDP and Water Cycle Strategy both identify that there are concerns relating to drainage within this area and this has been reflected in the policy wording included in the plan and has been taken			

nvironment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
(CAAP98					
Two of the documents listed are not available for review and we understand that they are still being undertaken by the Council to inform the evidence base. There appears to be no clear cross reference with the evidence base as the Sequential Testing (Flooding) document and Infrastructure Delivery Plan are still being completed. It is difficult to comment on the soundness of the plan as we have not seen the contents of these two sey evidence base documents. As the Sequential Testing (Flooding) and Infrastructure Delivery Plan are still being completed it is unclear whether the plan is the most appropriate strategy, when considered against reasonable alternatives, and whether he plan is deliverable over its period i.e. flood risk, environmental infrastructure requirements etc.	All	Comments are noted. The Council have now finalised a District wide Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will prioritise infrastructure. This has been used to inform the preparation of the Plan. Furthermore, work has been undertaken in conjunction with the County Council in terms of infrastructure planning and these documents are available to view on the County Council's website. The sequential test has also been used to develop the plan; this document has helped to justify the site selection process. Both documents have been further updated to reflect conversations held with the Environment Agency. The documents have also been updated t	N/a	The Environment Agency has now had the opportunity to review the Council's Infrastructure Plan and Sequential Testing (Flooding) document. We are satisfied that the Council's Sequential Testing (Flooding) document provides a detailed review of flood risk, utilising the work undertaken in their Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, to demonstrate the Sequential Testing of sites. Where development has been identified as necessary within Flood Zone 2 and 3,	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		that has been provided since their first publication. These documents have been circulated to the Environment Agency for their comment.		been given to the Sequential Approach and flood risk vulnerability classification of development, in locating more vulnerable uses in areas of lower flood risk. We have reviewed the environmental	Yes on the understanding
				infrastructure sections of the Infrastructure Plan and acknowledge the detail provided to support the deliverability of development within the District.	that Severn Trent Water Ltd are satisfied with the detail of the plan.
				In particular the Waste water section of the Plan has acknowledged the constraints to existing wastewater treatment works	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
				identified within the Council's Water Cycle Strategy and provided further detail to clarify the deliverability of sites within the plan. We understand that this further work has been undertaken in consultation with Severn Trent Water Limited and on this basis we have no further comments to make.	
<u>KCAAP110</u>					
We support the inclusion of Objectives number 6, 7, 8 and 9, in protecting and enhancing the water environment. However we would recommend amended wording for objective number 9 on flood risk and water management.	Paragraph 2.16	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD03 Replace Objective 9 with the following: 9. <u>Improve the</u> resilience of	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes
Recommended wording: "9. Improve the resilience of Kidderminster by reducing and managing flood risk and taking account of the impacts of climate change by ensuring good water management".			Kidderminster by reducing and managing flood risk and taking account of the impacts of climate change by ensuring good water		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
			management.		
<u>KCAAP96</u>					
We support the inclusion of paragraph 5.44 in considering the environment setting of the industrial sites identified within policy KCA.GPB5. However we would recommend some additional wording in considering the justification for this statement as follows: "Any new industrial development will need to consider the potential impact on ground water protection in the area. <b>Types of activities, drainage and building design</b> should be in line with the Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection Policy and Practice Guidance. <b>Tighter controls</b> <b>are likely to be required in line with this guidance, as the</b> <b>sites overlie a principal aquifer with a relatively shallow</b> <b>water table.</b> The aquifer is of regional strategic importance in terms of water supply and the sites fall within close proximity (Inner, Outer and Total Source Protection Zone) of a public water supply source."	Paragraph 5.44	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD10 Include additional wording in paragraph 5.44 as follows: "Any new industrial development will need to consider the potential impact on ground water protection in the area. Types of activities, drainage and building design should be in line with the Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection Policy and Practice Guidance. Tighter controls are likely to be required in line with this guidance, as the sites overlie a principal aquifer with a relatively shallow water table. The aquifer is of regional strategic importance in	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation. Our GP3 Guidance was updated in November 2012. We would recommend the title reference is changed to reflect the new document as follows: 'Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection: <b>Principles</b> and Practice guidance'.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
КСААР97			<u>terms of water supply</u> <u>and the sites fall within</u> <u>close proximity (Inner,</u> <u>Outer and Total Source</u> <u>Protection Zone) of a</u> <u>public water supply</u> <u>source."</u>		
We acknowledge the aspirations of the Council to regeneration the KCAAP area with mixed use development. However, a flood risk policy is considered necessary for the following reasons: -The majority of the KCAAP area lies within an area at risk of flooding from the River Stour. - Although flood risk within the area has been reduced by the Kidderminster Flood Alleviation Scheme (FAS), should the FAS be breached or its design capacity exceeded then the flood hazard is likely to be significant, due to the low lying nature of the town and the proximity of parts of the KCAAP area (proposed allocations) to the FAS reservoir area. The Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) by Royal Haskoning (dated February 2010) undertook breach and overtopping scenarios to confirm the above. The report (section 7, page 53) concluded 'it is essential that this residual risk is appreciated and sufficiently mitigated against' - The Water Management Policy (CP02) in the Council's adopted Core Strategy includes a general flood risk policy	Paragraph 6.4	Comments are noted. A meeting has been held with the Environment Agency to discuss the inclusion of a flood risk policy within the KCAAP area. The proposed policy and reasoned justification is included at Appendix 1 to this statement.	<b>KCAPMOD16</b> Please see Appendix 1 for further information in regard to this particular representation.	We are satisfied that the Council's proposed flood risk policy and accompanying reasoned justification provided in Appendix 1 for inclusion within the KCAAP is suitable to mitigate and manage flood risk in the Kidderminster town centre area. The proposed policy addresses our representation. We also welcome the agreement of the Council to develop a Flood Risk Developer	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
for the District area. It does not address the specific flood risk (identified above) for the KCAAP area. The policy should be relevant to the KCAAP area and address: - safe development (design and layout) requirements to mitigate for actual and residual risk from the towns flood defences and reservoir overtopping or failing; -the importance of adequate emergency planning to cater for new development, particularly higher flood risk uses; -opportunities offered through new development to manage and reduce flood risk i.e. though provision or maintenance/improvement of flood risk management structures (some of these measures would need to be delivered strategically, working with other partners and development sites); -the amount of surface water run-off from the town centre/opportunities for retro fitting SuDS (we understand that a Surface Water Management Plan is in progress for the Wyre Forest District, being undertaken by the Lead Local Flood Authority, that could link into this policy).				Guidance Note for the Kidderminster town centre area, to assist developers in meeting the policy requirement.	
We would be happy to meet with your Council to assist with the specific wording of a flood risk policy.					
<u>KCAAP99</u>					
We support the inclusion of a paragraph on contaminated land. However, we would recommend it is	Paragraph 6.6	Comments are noted and support is welcomed.	KCAPMOD17 Include additional	Proposed amendment is as	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph	Director of Economic	Resulting Minor	Response from	Common
	/ Policy	Prosperity and Place	Amendment to the	Environment	Ground
		Response	KCAAP?	Agency	Established?
reworded as follows, in fully explaining its context and		Please see Minor	wording in paragraph	recommended in our	
importance in relation to the District:		Amendment for suggested	6.6 as follows:	representation.	
		change.	The former industrial		
Paragraph 6.6:- The former industrial nature of parts of			nature of parts of the	Our GP3 Guidance	
the town centre means that there is high potential for			town centre means	was updated in	
contamination issues to arise. Disturbance of any such			that there is high	November 2012. We	
contamination during re-development can mobilise			potential for	would recommend	
pollutants and adversely impact on the groundwater			contamination issues	the title reference is	
and the wider water environment including the rivers,			to arise. Disturbance of	changed to reflect	
canals and wetlands. The KCAAP area overlies a			any such	the new document as	
principal aquifer which is of strategic importance for			contamination during	follows:	
water supply and the area falls within the Source			<u>redevelopment</u>		
Protection Zone of a public water supply borehole. The			<u>can mobilise pollutants</u>	'Environment	
water table is relatively shallow, particularly within the			and adversely impact	Agency's	
Stour valley. It is therefore essential that ground and			on the groundwater	Groundwater	
water contamination must be addressed as part of any			and the wider water	Protection: Principles	
redevelopment and suitable remediation measures			environment including	and Practice	
taken. Part B of this document identifies sites where this			the rivers, canals and	guidance'.	
risk is known to be particularly apparent; however a desk			wetlands. The KCAAP		
study will be required for most sites to assess this			area overlies a		
potential . New development should be in line with the			principal aquifer which		
Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection Policy			is of strategic		
and Practice Guidance (GP3).			importance for water		
			supply and the area		
			falls within the Source		
			Protection Zone of a		
			public water supply		
			borehole. The water		
			table is relatively		
			shallow, particularly		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph	Director of Economic	Resulting Minor	Response from	Common
	/ Policy	Prosperity and Place	Amendment to the	Environment	Ground
		Response	KCAAP?	Agency	Established?
			within the Stour valley.		
			It is therefore essential		
			that Where ppropriate		
			ground and water		
			contamination must be		
			addressed as part of		
			any redevelopment		
			and suitable		
			remediation measures		
			taken.		
			Part B of this		
			document identifies		
			sites where this risk is		
			known to be		
			particularly apparent;		
			however a desk study		
			will be required for		
			most sites to assess		
			this potential. New		
			development should		
			be in line with the		
			Environment Agency's		
			Groundwater		
			Protection Policy and		
			Practice Guidance		
			(GP3).		
<u>KCAAP106</u>					
We support the inclusion of core design principle (I)	Policy	Comments are noted and	KCAPMOD18	Proposed policy	Yes
relating to blue and green infrastructure of the town	KCA.UP1	support is welcomed.	Include additional	amendment is as	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
centre, in particular the River Stour. We would suggest a minor change to the wording: The word enhance could be added i.e. 'respect and <b>enhance</b> the blue and green infrastructure of the town		Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	wording in Policy UP1 as follows: 'respect <u>and enhance</u> the blue and green	recommended in our representation.	
centre'			infrastructure of the town centre'		
<u>KCAAP107</u>					
We support the inclusion of part g. of this policy for new and/or improved public streets and spaces to incorporate SuDS to improve surface water drainage. Carefully designed SuDS can improve water quality and biodiversity in addition to managing and improving surface water run- off, in line with the objectives of the Water Framework Directive.	Policy KCA.UP2	Comments are noted and support is welcomed.	N/a	N/a	Yes
<u>KCAAP108</u>					
We support the reference to enhancing the canal's role as part of the green infrastructure and biodiversity network in point viii. However, we would recommend that this point also includes a reference to the canal's water environment, in ensuring that new development	Policy KCA.UP5	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD23 Include additional wording in Policy UP5 as follows:	Proposed policy amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes
contributes to the objectives of the Water Framework Directive.			viii. Where appropriate, enhance	Suggested paragraph for reasoned	
Recommended wording:			the canal's <u>water</u> <u>environment and</u> role	justification has not been included, but	
"viii. Where appropriate, enhance the canal's <b>water</b> <b>environment and</b> role as part of the green infrastructure			as part of the green infrastructure and	was provided to support the	

Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
		biodiversity network."	proposed policy amendment.	
Policy KCA.UP6	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD25 Include additional wording in Policy UP6 as follows: New developments adjacent to the River Stour <u>should</u> <u>contribute to the</u> <u>improvement of the</u> <u>water environment by</u> :	Proposed amendments are as recommended in our representation.	Yes
		i. <u>Providing</u> a positive relationship to the water's edge.		
		ii. <u>Making</u> on-site improvements to the green infrastructure links.		
		iii. <u>Enhancing</u> the biodiversity value of the river and riverside		
	/ Policy Policy	/ Policy       Prosperity and Place Response         Policy       Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested	/ PolicyProsperity and Place ResponseAmendment to the KCAAP?Policy KCA.UP6Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.KCAPMOD25 Include additional wording in Policy UP6 as follows: New developments adjacent to the River Stour should contribute to the improvement of the water environment by:i. Providing a positive relationship to the water's edge.ii. Making on-site improvements to the green infrastructure links.	/ PolicyProsperity and Place ResponseAmendment to the KCAAP?Environment AgencyPolicy KCA.UP6Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.KCAPMOD25 Include additional wording in Policy UP6 as follows:Proposed amendments are as recommended in our representation.New developments adjacent to the River Stour should contribute to the improvement of the water environment by:Proposed amendment by:i. <u>Providing</u> a positive relationship to the water's edge.ii. <u>Making</u> on-site improvements to the green infrastructure links.iii. <u>Enhancing</u> the biodiversity value ofiii. <u>Enhancing</u> the biodiversity value of

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
vii. Providing opportunities for promenading and			areas as part of a wildlife corridor.		
interaction with the environment of the River Stour.					
			iv. Improving the		
			morphology of		
			modified sections of the river, where		
			appropriate, to		
			recreate more natural		
			conditions and better		
			<u>habitat (i.e. de-</u>		
			culverting, re-profiling		
			and buffer strips).		
			v. Putting in place		
			measures to protect		
			and improve water		
			quality (including		
			groundwater).		
			vi. Providing flood risk		
			betterment where		
			practical.		
			vii. Providing		
			opportunities for		
			promenading and		
			interaction		
			with the environment		
			of the River Stour.		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
			Added Reasoned Justification:		
			The River Stour is currently classified as poor ecological status and failing good		
			<u>chemical status, as</u> <u>reported in the Severn</u> <u>River Basin</u> <u>Management Plan.</u>		
			Two of the objectives of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) are: to prevent		
			deterioration in the status of aquatic ecosystems, protect them and improve the		
			ecological condition of waters; and to achieve at least good status for all waters by 2015.		
			Where this is not possible, and subject to the criteria set out		
			in the Directive, aim to achieve good status by 2021 or 2027. New		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
			development should contribute towards improving the River Stour to assist the UK in meeting its objectives of the WFD.		
<u>KCAAP100</u>					
The Sequential Testing (Flooding) report should be finalised and published so that it can be viewed and commented on. It should be clear how the report has been used to inform and support the policy, site allocations (Sequential Approach) and deliverability of the plan, providing transparency and a clear link to the evidence base. The flood risk sequential approach must be fully utilised in selecting sites for development and the appropriate type of development in considering flood risk vulnerability. Upon receipt of this document we would be able to comment further and update our position.	Policy KCA.Ch7	The sequential test has been used to develop the plan; this document has helped to justify the site selection process. The Sequential Test has been circulated to the Environment Agency for their comment. Please see Minor	KCAPMOD33 Include the additional wording in Policy KCA.Ch7, as follows: <u>'New development</u> <u>should demonstrate</u> <u>appropriate</u> <u>remediation, building</u> <u>and drainage design in</u> <u>order to deal with any</u> <u>contaminated land and</u>	Flood Risk: We acknowledge that the site has been Sequentially tested and the eastern part of the site has been identified by your Council for development as the area of lowest flood risk.	Yes
Subject to the above, the inclusion of policy wording on flood risk should be detailed within policy KCA.Ch7, and/or the site boundary altered, so that it is clear that only a small area of the site is likely to be developable. In relation to contaminated land and the environmental constraints associated with this site, as a minimum the following policy wording is recommended for KCA.Ch7: <b>'New development should demonstrate appropriate</b>		Amendment for suggested change.	<u>to protect the water</u> <u>environment.'</u>	In light of the above, we would recommend another sentence is added to paragraph 9.42 on flood risk with regards to the developable area of the site as follows:	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
remediation, building and drainage design in order to deal with any contaminated land and to protect the water environment.'				'A site specific FRA will further define the developable area of the site'. <b>Water Environment:</b> The proposed additional wording is	
				as recommended in our representation.	
<u>KCAAP101</u>					
The location and industrial history of the area means that there is a high potential for ground/water contamination issues that will need to be considered and addressed. We welcome the reference to this in paragraph 12.4. There is a typo in the last sentence of paragraph 12.4 which refers to our G3 guidance - this should be GP3. We welcome the reference to this document.	Policy KCA.CW1	Comments are noted. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD43 Include an additional paragraph in the policy, as follows: <u>x. Take full account of</u> <u>the Groundwater</u>	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation. Our GP3 Guidance was updated in	Yes
Notwithstanding the above, there is currently limited reference to the areas sensitive hydrogeological setting. There are likely to be restrictions for new development on the drainage, building designs (foundations) and land uses. The level of desk study, and if necessary, site investigation and remediation will be high. We would recommend that wording is added to policy KCA.CW1 as follows:			Source Protection Zone that exists within this area, in safeguarding groundwater supply. Added Reasoned Justification:	November 2012. We would recommend the title reference is changed to reflect the new document as follows: 'Environment	
follows:			The site sits on a	Agency's Groundwater	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph	Director of Economic	Resulting Minor	Response from	Common
	/ Policy	Prosperity and Place	Amendment to the	Environment	Ground
		Response	KCAAP?	Agency	Established?
x. Take full account of the Groundwater Source			Groundwater Source	Protection: Principles	
Protection Zone that exists within this area, in			Protection Area (SPZ1	and Practice	
safeguarding groundwater supply.			and 2). SPZ1 is the	guidance'.	
			inner zone and is		
Reasoned Justification			highly sensitive to land		
			use / contamination.		
The site sits on a Groundwater Source Protection Area			Therefore the type of		
(SPZ1 and 2). SPZ1 is the inner zone and is highly sensitive			development and		
to land use / contamination. Therefore the type of			activities that can take		
development and activities that can take place within this			place within this zone		
zone need to have full regard to the Environment			need to have full		
Agency's Groundwater Protection: Policy and Practice			<u>regard to the</u>		
(GP3) document. In addition to control of land use,			Environment Agency's		
drainage and building design (i.e. foundations), tight			<u>Groundwater</u>		
control of the investigation and remediation of any land			Protection: Policy and		
contamination issues would also be required within this			Practice (GP3)		
location.			document. In addition		
			to control of land use,		
			drainage and building		
			<u>design (i.e.</u>		
			foundations), tight		
			<u>control of the</u>		
			investigation and		
			remediation of any		
			land contamination		
			issues would also be		
			required within this		
			location.		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
<u>KCAAP102</u>					
We support the inclusion of paragraph 15.4, acknowledging the area's industrial history and the high potential for ground/water contamination issues. In light of this, we would recommend the inclusion of a reference within the policy wording as follows:	Policy KCA.HP1	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD45 Include additional wording in Policy HP1 as follows:	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation.	Yes
'New development should incorporate appropriate remediation, building and drainage design in order to deal with any contaminated land and to protect the water environment.'			New development should incorporate appropriate remediation, building and drainage design in order to deal with any		
There is a typo in the last sentence of paragraph 15.4 which refers to our G3 guidance - this should be GP3. We welcome the reference to this document			<u>contaminated land and</u> <u>to protect the water</u> <u>environment.</u>		
<u>KCAAP103</u>					
We support the inclusion of point ii of policy KCA.HP2 and paragraph 15.13 for new development to contribute to the improvement of the riverside environment and enhancement of the green infrastructure.	Policy KCA.HP2	Comments are noted. It is the ambition of the policy only to retain the facade of the building and it is agreed that the extensions	None proposed.	We accept that the intention of the policy, to improve the riverside environment,	Yes
We acknowledge that the policy looks to retain the existing building frontage. There is an extension		to the rear should be removed as part of any		includes setting the development back	
structure, which does not appear to be in keeping with the adjacent façade, built over the bank of the River Stour on the site. We would wish to see this structure removed		redevelopment.		from the bank of the River Stour and this is further supported by	
and any new development to be set further back from the bank of the River Stour, in line with policy KCA.UP6				the proposed amendments to the	

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
(River Stour). We would welcome the inclusion of a specific reference to the above in paragraph 15.13.				River Stour policy (KCA.UP6).	
<u>KCAAP104</u>					
We fully support the inclusion of point vi. in this policy, for any redevelopment of the site to take full account of the groundwater Source Protection Zone, and welcome the explanation provided in paragraph 15.18 of the reasoned justification, in safeguarding the water environment. We would suggest minor additions to the wording as follows (shown in bold), in providing further clarification: <b>Policy:</b> vi. Take full account of the Groundwater Source Protection Zone that exists within this area, <b>in</b> <b>safeguarding groundwater supply.</b> <b>Paragraph 15.18:</b> In addition to control of land use, <b>drainage and building design (i.e. foundations),</b> tight control of the investigation	Policy KCA.HP3	Comments are noted and support is welcomed. Please see Minor Amendment for suggested change.	KCAPMOD47 Include additional wording in Policy HP3 as follows: vi. Take full account of the Groundwater Source Protection Zone that exists within this area, in <u>safeguarding</u> groundwater supply. Include additional wording at Paragraph 15.18: In addition to control of land use, <u>drainage</u> <u>and building design</u> (i.e. foundations), tight control of the investigation and remediation of any land contamination issues would also be	Proposed amendment is as recommended in our representation. Our GP3 Guidance was updated in November 2012. We would recommend the title reference is changed to reflect the new document as follows: 'Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection: <b>Principles</b> and Practice guidance'.	Yes

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph / Policy	Director of Economic Prosperity and Place Response	Resulting Minor Amendment to the KCAAP?	Response from Environment Agency	Common Ground Established?
			required within this		
			location.		
<u>KCAAP105</u>					
The Bus Depot site is located within a similar	Policy	Comments are noted.	Include an additional	Proposed	Yes
environmental setting to the Green Street Depot site	KCA.HP4	Please see Minor	paragraph in the	amendment is as	
(policy KCA.HP3). These sites are located in very close		Amendment for suggested	policy, as follows:	recommended in our	
proximity to a public water supply pumping station,		change.		representation.	
within the inner Source Protection Zone and are			x. Take full account of		
therefore located in an extremely sensitive location.			the Groundwater	Our GP3 Guidance	
The above matter is covered within the policy for Green			Source Protection	was updated in	
Street Depot but not for the Bus Depot site. Therefore we			Zone that exists within	November 2012. We	
would recommend that similar wording is added to policy			<u>this area, in</u>	would recommend	
KCA.HP4 as follows:			<u>safeguarding</u>	the title reference is	
			groundwater supply.	changed to reflect	
Policy:				the new document as	
			Added Reasoned	follows:	
iv . Take full account of the Groundwater Source			Justification:		
Protection Zone that exists within this area, in			<b>-</b> 1 11 11	'Environment	
safeguarding groundwater supply.			The site sits on a	Agency's	
Reasoned Justification:			Groundwater Source	Groundwater	
			Protection Area (SPZ1	Protection: <b>Principles</b>	
The site sits on a Groundwater Source Protection Area			and 2). SPZ1 is the	and Practice	
(SPZ1 and 2). SPZ1 is the inner zone and is highly sensitive to land use / contamination. Therefore the type of			inner zone and is highly sensitive to land	guidance'.	
development and activities that can take place within this			<u>use / contamination.</u>		
zone need to have full regard to the Environment			Therefore the type of		
Agency's Groundwater Protection: Policy and Practice			development and		
(GP3) document. In addition to control of land use,			activities that can take		
drainage and building design (i.e. foundations), tight			place within this zone		

Environment Agency's Representation	Paragraph	Director of Economic	Resulting Minor	Response from	Common
	/ Policy	Prosperity and Place	Amendment to the	Environment	Ground
		Response	KCAAP?	Agency	Established?
control of the investigation and remediation of any land			need to have full		
contamination issues would also be required within			<u>regard to the</u>		
this location.			Environment Agency's		
			<u>Groundwater</u>		
			Protection: Policy and		
			Practice (GP3)		
			document. In addition		
			to control of land use,		
			drainage and building		
			design (i.e.		
			foundations), tight		
			control of the		
			investigation and		
			remediation of any		
			land contamination		
			issues would also be		
			required within this		
			location.		

#### Draft Flood Risk Policy for inclusion within the Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan

#### Replace sections 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6 with the following:

#### Water Management

Flood Risk is an important issue that affects the central area of Kidderminster. The Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, undertaken by Royal Haskoning, identified that although the flood risk within the central area of Kidderminster has been reduced by the Kidderminster Flood Alleviation Scheme (FAS), should the FAS be breached or its design capacity exceeded then the flood hazard to the town is likely to be significant, due to the low lying nature of the town. In order to understand this risk further the Level 2 SFRA undertook an assessment of potential breaches and overtopping of the FAS and concluded that this needs to be factored into decision making when considering the future development of the central area of Kidderminster. It is therefore considered important that a targeted flood risk policy is included within the Area Action Plan to help guide future development decisions.

#### New Policy – Water Management

All development that is considered to be at risk of flooding should demonstrate that it is safe by ensuring that:

- Floor levels are situated above the 1% predicted plus climate change design flood level, incorporating an allowance for freeboard. Development should also consider in the design the risk from more extreme events. In certain instances where it is not feasible or practicable to set the floor levels then other forms of flood resilience and resistance techniques may be considered as a suitable alternative;
- All development that includes overnight accommodation or an educational institution demonstrates a safe pedestrian access route is available during a 1% plus climate change design flood event, with regard to hazard risk assessment. In considering this regard should be given to the evidence in the Level 2 SFRA, including breach scenarios. All other development should clarify available access and appropriate mitigation as part of a flood management plan;
- Developments assess the availability of ;safe vehicular access ; during a flood event, its necessity for the development type and appropriate mitigation as part of a flood management plan;
- Developments implement a flood management plan to manage the risk to the development itself and future users/occupiers during all flood events along with any remaining residual risks.
- Developments are avoided within areas subject to Rapid Inundation from a dam breach as identified by the Level 2 SFRA
- SUDS are included in all developments and are appropriate to the sensitive hydrological setting of Kidderminster;
- Developments have regard to, and are in conformity with, the emerging Local Flood Risk Management Plan being prepared by Worcestershire County Council

#### **Reasoned Justification**

This policy should be read in conjunction with Core Strategy Policy CP02, Site Allocations and Policies Policy Sal.CC7 and Kidderminster Central Area Action Plan Policy KCA.UP6. Kidderminster town centre is affected by flood risk. The town is protected by a flood alleviation scheme (FAS) located upstream of the town centre, which consists of an earth dam and a concrete culvert which help form a flood storage area. However, the residual risk associated with any failure of this scheme needs to be understood and factored in to new development decisions. This policy, therefore, provides criteria for developers to consider when proposing development within areas identified as being at risk of flooding within the KCAAP area.

The policy identifies the need for development proposed within flood risk areas to take account of a number of measures to ensure that the development is safe, and remains safe, in times of flood. This includes building protection, such as setting appropriate floor levels, providing flood resilience and resistance techniques, as well as ensuring that there is access and a flood management plan for people using these sites.

The policy requires developers to provide a flood management plan so that information is available for the users / occupiers of a proposed development to ensure that they are aware of appropriate procedure in times of flood.

When producing a Flood Management Plan for any development, the following information should be included:

- An identification of likely flood risk areas and sources
- Details of evacuation routes
- Important contacts, including floodline, emergency services, local authority information
- Basic strategies for protecting property and assisting recovery
- Details of key service cut off points (Electricity / Gas / Water)

Further information on producing a flood management plan can be found on the Environment Agency's website (<u>http://www.environment-</u> <u>agency.gov.uk/homeandleisure/floods/default.aspx</u>). Furthermore, in order to assist Developers in considering flood risk within Kidderminster the Environment agency and Wyre Forest District Council are proposing to produce a developer guidance note. This will include information on flood risk within the area and a pro-forma to assist Developers in producing a Flood Risk Assessment that meets the policy requirements. The guidance note will be based primarily on the information within the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, which should be considered in the first instance when looking at developing within the central area.

Many of the potential redevelopment sites identified in Part B of this document are adjacent to or within flood zones 2 and 3 as identified by the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Therefore, the issue of flood risk is particularly pertinent in the KCAAP area and new development must consider the location of more vulnerable uses (e.g. housing) within the lowest risk areas.

In addition to flood risk, the former industrial nature of parts of the town centre means that there is high potential for contamination issues to arise. Where appropriate, ground and water contamination must be addressed as part of any redevelopment and suitable

14/01/2013

#### APPENDIX 2 – WYRE FOREST DISTRICT KIDDERMINSTER CENTRAL AREA ACTION PLAN EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND – ENVIRONMENT AGENCY remediation measures taken. Part B of this document identifies sites where the risk is known to be particularly apparent and new development should be in line with the Environment Agency's Groundwater Protection Principles and Practice Guidance. The nature of the ground conditions and hydrogeological setting does not remove the requirement for SuDS. However developers will need to give careful consideration to the type of SuDS techniques used and their design in protecting the water environment.